

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/087,742	7590	03/05/2002	Hidekiyo Takaoka	M1071-1711	7012
OSTROLENK FABER GERB & SOFFEN 1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 100368403			EXAMINER IP, SIKYIN		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1742	
				DATE MAILED: 07/08/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	ı	X 1				
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	10/087,742	TAKAOKA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sikyin lp	1742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the c ver sheet w	ith the correspondenc address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e. cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u>	March 2002 .					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ The	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application	•					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	,				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		uisapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in real 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	Kamilioi.					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 H S C	\$ 119(a) (d) or (f)				
	if priority under 33 0.5.0.	§ 119(a)-(a) or (i).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen	to have been received					
Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document		Application No				
Copies of the certified copies of the price						
application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language produced. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes						
Attachment(s)		·				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) .				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office A	ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 2				

Serial No: 10/087,742

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over JP 2000190090 (abstract), CN 1205260 (abstract), USP 6231691 to Anderson et al (col. 2, lines 41-47 and col. 4, lines 31-54), USP 6080497 to Carey et al (abstract and col. 29, line 55 to col. 30, line 5), or USP 3827884 to Morisaki (abstract and col. 1, lines 15-36).
- 4. The reference(s) disclose(s) the features including the claimed Sn based solder compositions. The difference between the reference(s) and the claims are as follows:

Serial No: 10/087,742

Art Unit: 1742

with respect to claim 3 that JP 2000190090, CN 1205260, and Morisaki do not disclose the soldered articles contain transition metals as claimed. However, is evinced by Carey in the same field of endeavor or the analogous metallurgical art that Sn solder improves corrosion resistant of Cu metal (abstract). Thus, it is contemplated within ambit of ordinary skill artisan to solder Cu alloys with Sn based solders in order to improve corrosion resistant. When prior art compounds essentially "bracketing" the claimed compounds in structural similarity are all known, one of ordinary skill in the art would clearly be motivated to make those claimed compounds in searching for new products. Therefore, the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have selected the overlapping portion of the subject matter disclosed by the reference. Overlapping ranges have been held to be a prima facie case of obviousness. See In re Malagari, 499 F.2d 1297, 1303, 182 USPQ 549, 553 (CCPA 1974).

Conclusion

The above rejection relies on the reference(s) for all the teachings expressed in the text(s) of the references and/or one of ordinary skill in the metallurgical art would have reasonably understood or implied from the text(s) of the reference(s). To emphasize certain aspect(s) of the prior art, only specific portion(s) of the text(s) have been pointed out. Each reference as a whole should be reviewed in responding to the rejection, since other sections of the same reference and/or various

Serial No: 10/087,742

Art Unit: 1742

combination of the cited references may be relied on in future rejection(s) in view of amendment(s).

All recited limitations in the instant claims have been meet by the rejections as set forth above.

Applicant is reminded that when amendment and/or revision is required, applicant should therefore specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.121.

Examiner Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to S. Ip whose telephone number is (703) 308-2542. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 5:30 A.M. to 2:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Roy V. King, can be reached on (703)-308-1146.

The facsimile phone number for this Art Unit 1742 are (703) 305-3601 (Official Paper only) and (703) 305-7719 (Unofficial Paper only). When filing a FAX in Technology Center 1700, please indicate in the Header (upper right) "Official" for papers that are to be entered into the file, and "Unofficial" for draft documents and other communication with the PTO that are not for entry into the file of the application. This will expedite processing of your papers.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

SIKYIN IP PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1742

S. Ip June 28, 2003